

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

**MICHAEL RAYMOND KARRAS,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**UTMB MEDICAL BRANCH SR.  
PRACTICE MANAGER,**

**Defendant.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**Case No. 6:19-CV-522-JDK-JDL**

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Plaintiff Michael Raymond Karras, an inmate proceeding *pro se*, filed the above-styled and numbered civil rights lawsuit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge John D. Love pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On December 30, 2019, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation (Docket No. 7), recommending that the lawsuit should be dismissed for failure to prosecute and to comply with the Court's order. *Id.* at 2. A return receipt indicating delivery to Plaintiff was received by the Clerk on January 10, 2020. Docket No. 8.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge *de novo* only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a *de novo* review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*), *superseded on other grounds by statute*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten to fourteen days). Here, Plaintiff did not file objections in the prescribed period. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings


for clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews his legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 7) as the findings of this Court.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report (Docket No. 7) be **ADOPTED**. It is further

**ORDERED** that Plaintiff's complaint is hereby **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for want of prosecution and failure to obey an order of the Court. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **24th** day of **January, 2020**.

  
JEREMY D. KERNODLE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE